



Participant Evaluation of Workshop - Average Scores (n=22)




Legend: 1 = not at all useful, 2 = not too useful, 3 = somewhat useful, 4 = useful, 5 = very useful, 6 = extremely useful, (# - #) = range of responses received

Participant Evaluation of Various Aspects of the Workshop - Average Scores (n=22)



Legend: 1 = not satisfactory, 2 = satisfactory, 3 = fair, 4 = good, 5 = very good, 6 = excellent, (# - #) = range of responses



What participants said that they liked best about the workshop...

- ◆ 60% mentioned the training methodology – small group assignments followed by group discussions, not a top to bottom process - but an exchange among participants
- ◆ 10% mentioned gaining a better understanding of GAVI and the Global Fund
- ◆ 10% mentioned the exchange with other regional immunization experts
- ◆ 10% mentioned the multi-year plans
- ◆ 3% mentioned the opening remarks by Jim Maynard
- ◆ 3% mentioned François Gasse's presentation on multi-year plans
- ◆ 3% mentioned the boat cruise and afternoon outing

What participants said that they liked least about the workshop...

- ◆ 52% mentioned the organization of sessions (objectives needed to be clearer (particularly the first two-days of the workshop), discussion went on too long at times, sometimes too frequent interruptions by facilitators)
- ◆ 12% mentioned the accommodations (not enough information provided about logistics, didn't like being housed at the training center)
- ◆ 12% mentioned that there was too little time to go over all the topics
- ◆ 6% mentioned that the external facilitators from WHO and UNICEF did not spend enough time explaining their subjects
- ◆ 6% mentioned the discussion on assessments
- ◆ 6% mentioned the discussion on financing multi-year plans
- ◆ 6% mentioned the timing of the presentation by the WHO expert on the common assessment tool after assessments were discussed

Would any aspects of this workshop be useful in your regions...

- ◆ 100% said yes. All aspects of the workshop were mentioned to be valuable at the regional level (i.e., what is GAVI, in-country coordination, assessments, multi-year plans, financing of multi-year plans and global fund; monitoring and evaluation of multi-year plans, cross-cutting issues). It was also mentioned that modules needed to be translated into French.